Polymer Bulletin

© Springer-Verlag 1981

Plasma-induced Polymerization 5. Bulk Copolymerization of Methacrylonitrile with Styrene

Bogdan C. Simionescu, Almeria Natansohn¹, Monica Leancă, Carmen Ananiescu and Cristofor I. Simionescu

Department of Organic and Macromolecular Chemistry, Polytechnic Institute, 6600 Jassy, Romania

¹ "P.Poni" Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry, 6600 Jassy, Romania

SUMMARY

High molecular weight methacrylonitrile (M_1) - styrene (M_2) copolymers were obtained by plasma-induced bulk copolymerization. The reactivity ratios, determined by Kelen - Tüdös method, were shown to be $r_1=0.21$ and $r_2=0.34$ and indicate a radical mechanism of polymerization. Some microstructural aspects of the obtained copolymers are presented.

INTRODUCTION

In some previously published papers, the plasma-induced bulk copolymerization of methyl methacrylate and styrene (SIMIONESCU et al., 1980a) and of alphamethyl styrene with methyl methacrylate and acrylonitrile (SIMIONESCU et al., 1980b) was reported. The reactivity ratio values, as well as the value of the configurational parameter ∇ (for the first two systems) indicated the radical nature of the plasma-induced process.

The present paper deals with the plasma-induced bulk copolymerization of methacrylonitrile (MAN, M_1) and styrene (S, M_2), studying several microstructural aspects of the obtained polymers.

EXPERIMENTAL

The monomers were twice distilled at reduced pressure under nitrogen, dried on CaH_2 , redistilled and used immediately after. The comonomer mixtures were introduced in Pyrex ampoules, frozen in liquid nitrogen after degassing, evacuated to $10^{-3}-10^{-4}$ Torr and sealed. Electrical discharges were then operated between two electrodes situated at 2.5 and 3.5 cm from the comonomers sample; the electrodes were coupled to a high-frequency discharge generator (frequency - 2.5 MHz, power - 100 W). The discharge was generated during 300 seconds. The samples were kept in dark, at 22.5° C, during 24 days, opened, diluted with benzene and precipitated in methanol.

¹H-NMR spectra were registered in CDCl, solutions at 60° C and in pyridine solutions at 100° C, on a JEOL-C-60 spectrometer. Copolymer composition was determined from the ratio aromatic / aliphatic integrals in the spectra registered in CDCl₃, while microstructural information was obtained from the spectra registered in pyridine. The \propto -CH₃ resonances were decomposed in three main parts using a curve resolving program on a JEC-6 computer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Copolymerization data are given in Table 1. The copolymerization diagram is presented in figure 1. Data processing according to the Kelen - Tüdös equation (figure 2) gives the results $r_1=0.21$ and $r_2=0.34$. The points lie on a straight line, indicating that the terminal model of copolymerization could characterize the system.

For radical copolymerization of MAN and S, the reactivity ratios given in Table 2 were obtained.

570

Sample	Initial mixture x= [M ₁] / [M ₂]	Conversion (%)	Copolymer composition y=d[M ₁]/d[M ₂]	Inherent viscosity [#]
l	7.00	5.35	2.37	1.414
2	3.00	3.42	1.46	1.938
3	1.67	7.12	1.21	2.054
4	1.00	7.06	0.91	2.106
5	0.60	6.15	0.69	2.306
6	0.33	10.83	0.51	1.884
7	0.14	12.63	0.48	1.689

TABLE 1 Copolymerization data

* - measured at a concentration of 0.5 g/dl, in CHCl₃, at 24° C



Fig. 1. Copolymerization diagram.



Fig. 2. The Kelen - Tüdös plot.

The CH_2 and ∞ - CH_3 resonances are given in figure 3. ∞ -methyl signal splitting was previously interpreted by MURANO et al. (1969) and by PATNAIK and GAYLORD (1971) in two different ways.

MURANO et al. supposed the main three parts of ∞ -CH₃ signal (noted A, B and C in figure 3) to belong to 111 (A), 211+112 (B) and 212 (C) triad sequences. They compared the calculated triad fractions with the expe-

TABLE 2MAN - S reactivity ratio values

Reactivity		ratios Copolymerization recalculated [#] conditions			Reference	
rl	r ₂	rl	r ₂ (solvent,	temp.)	
0.16	0.30	0.26	0.35		60	(a)
0.26	0.38	0.25	0.36		80	(b)
0.32	0.39	0.33	0.38	toluene	60	(c)
0.25	0.25			-	80	(d)
0.28	0.43			-	80	(e)
0.41	0.37			toluene	90	(c)
0.42	0.38			toluene	120	(c)
0.26	0.17					(f)

* - by the Kelen - Tüdös equations (GREENLEY, 1980)
(a) LEWIS et al., 1948; (b) CAMERON et al., 1959;
(c) RUDIN and YULE, 1971; (d) FORDYCE et al., 1948;
(e) BRANDRUP and IMMERGUT, 1975; (f) MURANO et al., 1969.

rimental A, B and C areas and obtained a fairly good concordance.

PATNAIK and GAYLORD studied the alternating MAN - S copolymer obtained by radical copolymerization. By analogy with other acrylic - vinyl aromatic copolymers, they assigned the ∞ -CH₃ splitting to the aromatic screening effect of the styrene nuclei being on the same side of the main chain with the ∞ -CH₃ group. The authors concluded that in these copolymers the coisotacticity tendency is very high (a meso 12 diad having the aromatic nucleus and the ∞ -CH₃ group on the same side of the main chain).

The steric model for a l_m^2 diad, represented in figure 4, suggests that the ∞ -CH₃ group should be very affected by the aromatic screening effect of a neighbouring styrene ring. Therefore, the PATNAIK and

GAYLORD interpretation seems to be more adequate.



Moreover, the slight high-field shift of the A, B and C parts of the ∞ -CH₃ signal when increasing styrene content in copolymers, could be a substitution effect, as observed in other analog systems (e.g., methyl me-thacrylate - styrene (SAN ROMAN et al., 1979) and methyl acrylate - phenylacetylene (SIMIONESCU et al., 1981)).

Consequently, we analysed the ∞ -CH₃ signal splitting in terms of sequence distribution and configuration using the equations proposed by HARWOOD et al. (1969) for methyl methacrylate - styrene copolymers and applied by many authors on other acrylic - vinyl aromatic systems:

$$F_{A} = f_{111} + (1 - f)f_{211} + (1 - f)^{2}f_{212}$$

$$F_{B} = f_{211} + 2f(1 - f)f_{212}$$

$$F_{C} = f_{212}^{2}f_{212}$$



Triad sequence fractions were calculated according to HARWOOD and RITCHEY (1964):

$$f_{111} = P_{11}^{2}$$

$$f_{211} = 2P_{11}P_{12}$$

$$f_{212} = P_{12}^{2}$$

where

 P_{ij} is the probability of a j monomer addition at a i-ended macroradical, $F_{A, B, C}$ is the fraction of the A, B, C

part of ∞ -CH₃ signal and Γ is the probability of a $l_m 2$ diad existence.

0 – C

)-CN

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of polymethacrylonitrile shows no ∞ -CH₃ splitting due to different configurations. Such splitting was obtained only at 220 MHz in CD₃CN (SUZU-KI et al., 1973); in fact, this was a fortunate situation, because in many similar cases the ∞ -CH₃ signal is too complicated to be completely assigned.

Figure 5 presents the calculated triad fractions together with experimental \mathbf{F}_{A} . B. C.



As it can be seen, $F_A = f_{111}$, $F_B = f_{211}$ and $F_C = f_{212}$ on all domain; therefore, \Im must be 1. The results are quite similar to those of MURANO et al., but the assignment is that of PATNAIK and GAY-LORD. Further splitting, mainly of the C part of the signal, can be observed, but difficult to be assigned. The \Im value of the system is rather high. A similar "stereoregularity" was found for methyl acrylate -2-vinyl pyridine copolymers (NATANSOHN et al., 1979). Research on ¹³C-NMR spectra of these copolymers will unambigously elucidate the sequence distribution and will clarify the configurational parameter value.

CONCLUSIONS

The relative reactivities of MAN and S at 22.5° C are 0.21 and 0.34; this proves again the radical nature of the plasma-induced process. ¹H-NMR spectra of these copolymers can be analysed in terms of sequence distribution and configuration. The configurational parameter indicates a high tendency of coisotacticity between phenyl and ∞ -methyl groups.

REFERENCES

BRANDRUP, J. and IMMERGUT, E.H. (Ed.): Polymer Handbook, 2nd edition. New York: John Wiley & Sons 1975 CAMERON, G.G., GRANT, D.H., GRASSIE, N., LAMB, J.E. and MC-NEILL, I.C.: J.Polymer Sci. 36, 173 (1959) FORDYCE, R.G., CHAPIN, E.C. and HAM, G.E.: J.Am. Chem. Soc. 70, 2489 (1948) GREENLEY, R.Z.: J.Macromol.Sci.-Chem. Al4, 445 (1980) HARWOOD, H.J. and RITCHEY, W.M.: J.Polymer Sci. B2, 601 (1964)HARWOOD, H.J., SHAH, A.K., BOCKRATH, R.E. and RITCHEY, W.M.: Appl.Polym.Symp. 8, 227 (1969) LEWIS, F.M., WALLING, C., CUMMINGS, W., BRIGGS, E.R. and WENISH, W.J.: J.Am.Chem.Soc. 70, 1527 (1948) MURANO, M., SHIMIZU, K. and HARWOOD, H.J.: Polym. Prepr. 10, 193 (1969) NATANSOHN, A., MAXIM, S. and FELDMAN, D.: Polymer 20, 629 (1979) PATNAIK, B.K. and GAYLORD, N.G.: J.Macromol.Sci.-Chem. A5, 843 (1971) RUDIN, A. and YULE, R.G.: J. Polymer Sci. Al, 3009 (1971) SAN ROMAN, J., MADRUGA, E.L. and DEL PUERTO, M.A.: Angew. Makromol.Chem. 78, 129 (1979) SIMIONESCU, B.C., NATANSOHN, A. and SIMIONESCU, C.I.. Polym.Bull. 2, 809 (1980a) SIMIONESCU, B.C., NATANSOHN, A., LEANCA, M., ANANIESCU, C. and SIMIONESCU, C.I.: Polym. Bull. 3, 247 (1980b) SIMIONESCU, C.I., NATANSOHN, A. and PERCEC, V .: J.Macromol.Sci.-Chem. A15, 643 (1981) SUZUKI, T., KOSHIRO, S. and TAKEGAMI, Y .: Polymer 14, 549 (1973)

Received April 15, 1981 Accepted April 22, 1981